Relations industrielles Industrial Relations

Nos collaborateurs

Volume 3, Number 5, January 1948

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1024055ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/1024055ar

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval

ISSN

0034-379X (print) 1703-8138 (digital)

Explore this journal

Cite this article

(1948). Nos collaborateurs. *Relations industrielles / Industrial Relations*, 3(5), 79–79. https://doi.org/10.7202/1024055ar

Tous droits réservés © Département des relations industrielles de l'Université Laval, 1948

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/





In comparison with such a conception of employhood, the nature of paternalism clearly reveals itself as a grotesque imitation.

Indeed, as we have remarked at the beginning of this article, if the patronate is the image of fatherhood, paternalism is its caricature ! The tragedy of European Communism, for example, is that it has forgotten this difference and has willed the abolishment of all forms of paternity.

It must be admitted that paternalism presents a powerful temptation at a time when social evolution is becoming imperative. Of what does this paternalism consist ? Essentially this – it causes an employer to pretend to Christian principles which he does not feel at heart. Paternalism is, one might say, « social phariseeism » - the appearance of unselfishness masking an interest all too self-centred. One might cite the patron who considers as generosity on his part what the workers consider only as their just and fair due. A common misapprehension this !

It follows, and we must insist on this point, that this conversion on the part of the employer is only possible when there is a similar conversion on the part of the worker. Nothing is more distressing than the spectacle of an employer's sincere attempts to achieve the paternal attitude being interpreted as the gestures of an interested paternalism. The workers must make an effort to overcome their defiant attitude at the same time that the patron is striving to become a sort of model workman - militant for the improvement of his men's working conditions. Marxism came to grief when it systematically tried to make patrons out of workmen. Liberalism failed because it systematically opposed the patron to the worker. The Guild System of the Middle Ages was successful as long as the «master» was really only the leading worker, in the same way that the king was the greatest gentleman of his kingdom.

That remains to be done is to restore this very spirit to the Christian social order of modern industrial society. The necessity is to prove to the world without delay that Christianity can triumph over the contemporary doctrines of an atheistic humanism.

- III -

Ideas such as these are pertinent to the present situation in Canada. For, employers everywhere seem imbued with an interest in the welfare of their workers. They are envisaging re-forms in economic structure reforms inspired by such powerful social writings as those of the Papal encyclicals. Such a movement should, and could, be a great success ! The endeavours and mistakes of others may be extremely usefeul if they help to avoir wrong moves.

As regards the reforming of the labour class it might be desirable to bear in mind the following They have been formulated, it is conclusions. true, for the European countries (1) where social

unrest is much more advanced. But they present,

non-the-less, the advantage of stressing the problems which are waiting to be solved here.

a) Experience shwos that the success of an economic wage formula (2) profit-sharing, ownership-participation, proportionate remuneration, shop convenience, independate shifts, operation on a co-operative basis etc.) requires first of all that the employer does not attempt to use it as a stimulant to production. By a paradox which is quite apparant, an economic formula produces an improvement in output only when its primary purpose is the realisation of social justice. The employer's instinct is to utilize the wage formula as a stimulant. The workman's instinct is to interpret the employer's good intentions as calculated selfishness. The formulas alone are not likely to modify in the least such a misunderstanding. From this it follows that their use is of no value unless it expresses a reform in the state of mind. As this reform can not be achieved spontaneously by the working classes – perhaps because of a certain inferiority complex – only the employer class can embark upon it to begin with.

In the last analysis, it is on the true intentions of the employer towards his employees that the solution of the problem depends.

c) Arising from this - the diversity of the structure and the dimensions of the enterprise being known - the choice of an economic wage formula should conform to each individual case. The objective stydy of the economic and social facts in a given enterprise should proceed and accompany all sincere attempts at reform. Because, there exists, as opposed to the error of paternalism, another as dangerous, although leess prevelent - that of utopian idealism.

« Respect for Nature, a passion for reality and the will to do good », these are the contradictory qualities which, through an alliance of Humanity with Divine Grace, can be counted upon to save modern economy from the chaos which threatens it.

In opposition to the technical formulas of salary: — hourly wage, piece work, the systems of Taylor, Rowan, Beveaux, Baunt, Walsley, etc. (2)(Continued on page 78)

NOS COLLABORATEURS

- BEAULIEU, Marie-Louis, c.r., licencié en philosophie, docteur en droit, avocat au Barreau de Québec, professeur à la Faculté de droit et à la Faculté des sciences sociales de Laval.
- CLÉMENT, Marcel, licencié ès lettres, diplômé d'études supérieures de philosophie (Sorbonne), licencié en droit. Diplômé d'études supérieures d'économie politique (Faculté de droit de Paris), professeur à la Faculté des sciences sociales de Laval.
- GIROUX, Germain, licencié en sciences commerciales, direc-teur du personnel à la Compagnie limitée Dominion Corset, Québec.
- Roy, André, B.A., journaliste, secrétaire général de la Confédération des travailleurs catholiques du Canada.

⁽¹⁾ Publication of the I.S.E.A.: « Salaire et rende-