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# Patterns of Arrangement in Italian Fresco Cycles: A Computer Database 

MARILYN ARONBERG LAVIN

Princeton University

The purpose of this paper is to publicize a research tool I have developed to analyze art historical material using the iba $30 \delta_{1}$ main frame computer. To explain how I came to this point, I must be somewhat autobiographical. My field of specialization is 15 thcentury Italian painting and in particular the work of Piero della Francesca. A few years ago, I began to confront what is considered the major problem of Piero's fresco cycle of the True Cross in S. Francesco in Arezzo, namely the extraordinarily 'out of order' arrangement of the narrative sequence. This cycle depicts the story of the Cross, generally following the $3^{\text {th }}$-century version in the Golden Legend, by Jacobus del Voragine.

Piero's cycle is said to be 'out of order' because it does not 'read' according to ordinary expectation, that is, from left to right and from the top down, like the page of a book. Rather, the visual sequence starts on the right and reads right toleft on the top ticr, and lefi to right on the second. It jumps to the altar wall and then jumps back to the third tier of the right wall. Moving to the left wall. the sequence continues on the middle tier, moves down to the bottom and ends at the top in the lunette. Many scholars have pointed out that the arrangement, although out of order from the point of view of narrative, creates a pattern of balance and harmony: the beginning and end of the story appear at the tops of both walls; scenes on the middle ranges show miracles of queens; the bottom tiers display battle scencs ol Holy Roman Emperors. While the truth of these observations is striking, rather than solving the mystery, it brings up further, quite fundamental questions. For example, what do we mean, when speaking of visual narrative, by the term 'order'? Do we mean simply 'following the written version' or are the criteria for visual narrative actually different from those we use for literature? If there is a separate element that we may call 'visual order,' what was its development and who determined it? What were the 'official' functions of visual order; what role did artists play in fulfilling these idcals? Morcover, in the $15^{\text {th }}$ century, was it possible for an artist to arrange or 'rearrange' a reli-
gious story painted in the chancel of an ecclesiastical building for his own aesthetic reasons?

When I turned to art historical literature for answers, I discovered that the questions had not been taken up in any coherent fashion. Indeed, currently there is no history of the disposition of narrative cycles in the Italian Renaissance, or for that matter, for any period. Still, I had to grapple with the problem if I were to pursue the questions in relation to Piero della Francesca. And I began to collect material. In no time at all, it became evident that this task was enormous, and if it were to have any sense of accuracy or cohesion, it could not possibly be done by hand. At this point I turned to the computer for aid.

My first step was to design a system in which the following fresco cycle information could be stored:
I - Identity of country, city, church (and monastic order), location in church, religious dedication of area, where the cycle appears; subject of the cycle, artist( $s$ ) and assistants, dates of execution.
n - Description of architectural surlaces used for decoration (vaults, lunettes, clerestory space, rectangular fields); number and location of windows; type of roofing.
III - Description of narrative sequence: starting point, direction of horizontal and/or vertical movement by wall, bay, tier; irregularities of sequence. iv - Titles of each scone, listed in literary order, indicating: narrative mode; location (wall, tier, position on tier); viewing point for represented architecture and landscape, groups of figures, and direction of represented light-flow.
$v$ - Characteristics and anomalies of the cycle, in telegraphic prose.
vi - Indication of inscriptions, signatures, and titulac.
vII - Subject, artist, date, location of altarpicce associated with the cycle, with indication of narratives on: wings, pinnacles, and predclle; notation of (nonnarrative) ligural representations on ceilings, pilasters, borders, dados, floors, and windows.
visi - Onc bibliographic reference.
 under the title 'Narrart'; at present, approximately 200 cycles have been entered, forming a database of more than 3000 records concentrated between the years $1260-1600$, with a few major examples from the Early Christian and Romanesque periods. An example of a database page is Fig. 1 ; formatted, it is sceen in Fig. 2.

The database is linked to a data management program called sas (Statistic Analysis System), chosen for its ability $t o$ handle long strings of different kinds of information. sas will list, count, compare, chart, and graph any and all variables in any combination. With proper programming one can ask, for example, the following kind of question: what are the scenes dating between 1300 and 1400 , in which the represented light comes from the right, which are on left wall of a chapel where the wall behind the altar is pierced by window(s)? In art historical terms, the question would be: list the scenes in which the direction of the represented light corresponds to the source of real light. The answer to this question as a listing and as a bar chart is seen in Fig. 3. It was created by the computer in less that a second.
I continue to work on the database, to edit and expand it. With the consultation of colleagues who study the Middle Ages and the Baroque period, hopefully in the future it will cover the entire history

anoraly: dado geetn titil mfu honuapmtallz go grandur architect op piture persppcploor
anomaly: tint actial space bot ettian abrangpanet
anomaly: ss in corlens hly bg dnavta ly chrysos blu lyt anastas rgt tion souin zey altarpiece: yes
location of origimal: lost
PAIMTEF:PRA ANGELICO
DATE: 1U47C
marrative on: mings: no
pIGURES ON: PILASTERS: PREDELLA:
PIGURES ON: PILASTERS:
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of western wall-painting. The question-asking project is in its infancy. Recording the cycle sequences on video-tape is one of the next steps, which in turn will make possible 'windowing' visual material into the database for simultaneous viewing. Public access 10 the database is one of my ultimate goals.

The rest of this text is a preliminary report on some findings that resulted from the mere compilation of material in order to enter it into the computer file.

Scancs on the will lett ut the altar ath livht cuaing troe the right in chapal witn wintows on the altar wall．

YRとJJLNCy GAR CHATT

| 10 | － | －•••• |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | －．．．． |  |  |  |  |
|  | I | －．－－ |  |  |  |  |
|  | I | －－－ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | －••• |  |  |  |  |
| $y$ |  | －••• | －＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\cdots{ }^{\bullet}$ | －．．． |  |  |  |
|  | i | －＊＊ | －－－－ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | －．－ | －•••• |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | －••• |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | － | －－－ | －＊．．＊ |  |  | －＊＊＊ |
|  | 1 | $\cdots \bullet$ | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{\bullet-*}$ |
|  | । | －．．． | －－－－ |  |  | －••• |
|  | ， | －＊．． | －．－－ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | $\cdots$－－ | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{-0.00}$ |
| 7 | － | －••• | －．－－ |  |  | －$\cdot$ |
|  | 1 | －＊＊＊ | －．．．． |  |  | －••＊ |
|  | 1 | －．－． | －－．－ |  |  | －••• |
|  | 1 | －••• | －．－－ |  |  | －0．0． |
|  | ， | $\cdots$ ••• | $\cdots{ }^{-\cdots}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 6 | ＊ | －••• | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | －••• | ＊＊＊＊ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | ＊＊＊ | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots 0 \cdot 0$ |
|  | 1 | －••• | －－－ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | $\cdots$ •••• | $\cdots{ }^{-0 .}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | － | $\cdots$－＊＊ | $\cdots \bullet$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\bullet \bullet$－＊＊ | －．．． |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | －＊＊＊ | －．－－ |  |  | ＊－＊＊ |
|  | 1 | ＊＊＊ | $\bullet \bullet .0$ |  |  | －＊＊ |
|  | 1 | －＊．．． | －＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 4 | － | －＊＊＊ | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1 | －0＊＊ | $\cdots{ }^{\bullet \bullet}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | －－－ |  |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{*-0 .}$ |
|  | 1 | －•．． | － $0 \cdot 0$ |  |  | －0．0＊ |
|  | 1 | －•＊＊ | －－－－ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{* * *}$ |
| 1 | － | －••• | －－－－ |  |  | $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ |
|  | 1 | －．．． | －．－－ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{-0.0 * *}$ |
|  | 1 | －－－－ | －．．．＊ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{*-* *}$ |
|  | 1 | －0．＊ | $\cdots \cdots$ |  |  | －＊＊＊ |
|  | 1 | －－－－ | －．．．＊ |  |  | －＊＊ |
| 2 | － | －•••• | －．．．＊ |  |  | $\cdots \cdots$ |
|  | 1 | －＊．．． | －．．． |  |  | $\bullet 0 \cdot 0$ |
|  | 1 | －••• | －．．．＊ |  |  | －－－－ |
|  | 1 |  | －＊＊ |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{-0 \cdot *}$ |
|  | 1 | $\cdots{ }^{*-* * * ~}$ | －．．． |  |  | －＊＊＊ |
| 1 | － | －－－ | －＊＊＊ |  |  | －－－ |
|  | 1 |  | －－＊＊ |  |  | －＊＊＊ |
|  | I | －•••＊ | －0．0 |  |  | － |
|  | 1 | －••• | －••＊ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ | －•＊＊ |  |  | －＊＊＊ |
|  |  | 1320 | 1332 | 1344 | 1356 | 1368 |


| 085 | CHUHCH | locat | SUBJECT | DATE | ARTIST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SCRC | GARDI CHAPRL IST STR | Spran renouldets patherebish | 1315－1320 | giotto |  |
| 2 | SCARC | GARDI CHAPEL 1ST STR | SPRAN DEATILE APOTHEOSIS | 131b－1320 | giotto |  |
| 3 | SPRAN | magd chaplel lower | Magd drits x PLEI SIMON | 1320－1321 | giutto | ATtr |
| 4 | SPRAN | magd chapll lover | $x$ raisps lazarus | 1320－1321 | giotto | $\triangle T T R$ |
| 3 | SFRAN | magd chapel lower | magd last cosmun iov cirpeata | 13＜u－1321 | GIotto | ATtR |
| $b$ | SPGAN | Luwtrsmartin chap | SMAht divides cloar fit amikn | 1320－1328 | SIMONE | martini |
| 7 | SPPIAM | LU＊EPSMAPTIN CHAP | SMART X APPL＇APS T $T$ AS GRGGAR | 13＜0－1328 | SIMONE | hartini |
| H | Sthan | Lumbhicafiln chap | Sahkt raist dend boy chartae | 1320－1328 | SIMONE | hartini |
| $y$ | SPRAN | lumersmartin chap | Sambrosk dreams starts death | 1320－1328 | SIAONE | MARTINI |
| 10 | SPFAM | L Uatersanhtin chap | SMART PUNERAL HEAD LPT SING． | 1320－1328 | SIAMer | MARTINI |
| 11 | SCRC | bahuncelli chap stim | joachirein willerness | 1330－1334 | TADDRO | Gadol |
| 12 | SERC | טAhuncelili chap Sta | BAV BIKTII Or midwivrs bathin | 133u－1334 | taddeo | gadul |
| 11 | SCRC | BARONCYLLI CHAP STR | HVM PRESE4TATIUY OP STEPS | 1330－1334 | TADDEO | gaddi |
| 14 | Sche | barunctllif cilap str | hVa bethuthal gi gardenvall | 1330－1334 | TADDEO | gaddi |
| 13 | SCRC | Feruzzi こhaptl | zachariah annunic musicians | 1330－1336 | GIOTTO |  |
| 10 | SCHC | PLRUZZI CHAPPLL | JBAPT EIRTH NAMING | 1310－1310 | giotro |  |
| 17 | SCRC | PLAUZZI Chapel | herod peast dance salomehead | 1330－1330 | Glotto |  |
| 1 t | parg | Chapel | PRINCE TAHSEILLE．WIPE ISLAND | 1336－1338 | GIotto | POLLO甘ERS |
| 19 | HAHG | CHAPEL | JYAP NASIM（t）UP | 1330－1338 | GIOTTO P | pollumers |
| 20 | SCkC | RI：IUCC：NI CHAY ShCRIS | Joachin expulsion | 1305－130y | GIOPANNI | I da milano |
| 21 | Scre | RIHUCCINI CHAP SACRIS | JUACHIP IN WILIERNESS | 1365－1169 | giovanni | I da milano |
| 22 | SCri | hinuccini chap sacris | golden íate merijng | 1365－1364 | giovanni | 1 da milano |
| 23 | SCH： | rinuccini chap sacris | BVA BIRTH Tany aldulves | 1305－1169 | giovanni | I da milano |
| 24 | SCHC | RINUCCINI CHAD SACRIS | EVG fresentation steps | 1305－1369 | GIOVANNI | I da milano |
| 23 | SこれC | rinuclimi chap sacris | brat marriage betruth erk rod | 1365－1364 | giovanni | I da milano |
| 26 | SGIOA | Oraturio di | SGEORGE A\＃AITS DECAPITATIUN | 1377－1384 | AITICHIP | ero |
| 27 | Sc＊C | castellani chap rtra | Afthuny beaten by devils | 1385－1390 | AGNOLO G | gaddi（Stahnina） |

The Early Christian habit of using the walls of the great basilicas as the arena for public religious instruction resulted in the development of certain patterns of narrative arrangement that became prototypical. Depending on factors of topography, historical circumstances and liturgical practice, cycles were disposed in superimposed tiers on the long nave walls in various ways. Evidence seems to point to the fact hat, in a majority of cases, the narratives begin on the right wall (as one faces the apse), on the toptier, at the apse end of the building. Patterns of arrangement during the Middle Ages then included: parallel movement from the apse to the entrance on both side walls, moving down tier after tier, as at St. Peter's. The right wall, which generally held Old Testament scenes, thus read left to right, and the left wall which showed New Testament scenes, read right to left. A second pattern, again starting on the right wall, was one of continuous movement, reading left to right. from the apse to the entrance on the right wall, and from the entrance to the apse end on the left. The narrative thus wrapped around the nave, moving down tier after tier, as at S. (iovamia Porta Latina. In these cases, the Old Testament scencs appear on the upper tier(s), with the New Testament represented below: '
Several irregularities in scene size seem to have occurred in Old St. Peter's. We know of these aberrations from later copies and therefore may count them as having become traditional. The pictorial fields were enlarged for the Emtry into Jorusalem (the beginning of Christ's Passion), the Crucifixion (the climax), and the Ascension (the end of his life on carth). The cycle at S. Paolof fuori le Mura used another type of 'irregularity' which also seems to have left its legacy. The left wall displayed the Acts of St. Paul in fortyfour scenes. At a certain point, the consecutive order departed from Biblical numeration and became out of order." Only after seeing reflections of such characteristics in later works do we become aware that they are not random anomalies. Once noted, they become part of the larger history of disposition and may be studied and analyzed.

Moving rapidly forward in time, we will take note of continnities and innovations in the realon of narrative disposition in $13^{\text {th }}$ - and $14^{\text {th }}$-century cycles. Dr. Giuseppe Rocchi has observed that the original orientation of the Lower Church of Assisi was the reverse of the present, i.e. the 'apse' was toward the east. ${ }^{3}$ If this was indeed the case, the nave frescoes in the two parallel sequences, Christ's life on the north

1 Sce Luba Eleen's contribution to this subject in the present volume.
2 Professor Herbert Kessler will discuss the significance of this arrangement in a fortheoming paper.
3 La Basilica di San Francesco ad Assisi: Interprotazione erelieato (Florence, 1982), and his present contribution.
4 (f. Florens Deuchler, 'Le Sens de la lecture. à propos du boustrophédon.' Etudes d'arl médiéàal offerles à L.ouis (irodecki (Paris, 1981).251-58.
wall, and St. Francis' on the south, would have repeated the double parallel apse-entrance pattern of Old St. Peter's.

Reference to the Early Christian protocols was even stronger in the arrangement of the nave decorations in the Upper Church of Assisi. An Old Testament cycle is on the right wall, starting at the apse end, occupying the upper two tiers, with the narrative moving from left to right; a New Testament cycle is on the left in parallel disposition. with the narative moving right to left (and scenes from the postPassion continuing right to left across the entrance wall). The Life of St. Francis, on the other hand, which occupies the third tier down. proceeds in the alternate mediaeval format, namely the left to right wrap-around pattern. The achicvements of this interlocking arrangement are manifold. Suffice it here to say that the Death of S\%. Francis appears precisely under the Death of Christ in the Crucifixion, expressing the 'Franciscus alter Christus' concept most directly.

One of the major innovations of the $14^{\text {th }}$ century was the institution of the priate chapel. One of the first and of the greatest of these was, of course, the Scrovegni Chapel painted by (iotto between 1305 and 1310. The Arena Chapel was thus a spearhead on a social as well as strlistic level. Its conformation. however, and the arrangement of its double cycle of the Virgin and Christ are rooted in the Early Christian protocols. The narative begins on the upper tier of the right wall at the apse end. It proceeds regularly around from left to right in a triple wrap-around. The number of scenes differs on cach wall and to step the narratice down to the next lower tier there is a regular pattern of transpositions on the triumphal arch. (xiotto's organizational immovations. therefore, must be found in the subtle ways he adjusted the traditional scheme to the architectural exigencies of his particular building.

While the grand scale of the Arena chapel was out of the ordinary, the institution of private patronage became the characteristic of Trecento artistic commissions. Usually, such family chapels were housed in one bay in the side aisle of a church. The artists, Giotto himself inclucled, were thus given a more compressed architectural environment in which to distribute their narrative sequences, and they dealt with this new challenge in a variety of ways.

In the Bardi Chapel, S. Croce, Florence, (ionto arranged the narrative of St. Francis' life as follows: it starts on the upper left, jumps to the upper right. moves down to the second tier, starts right, jumps lefi. moves down to the lowest tier left and jumps right. We could call this arrangement a 'zigrag' but a better term would be an aerial boustrophedon. The definition of this strange word, 'boustrophedon,' is: turning like an ox in plow. It has long been used to describe the few early Classical inscriptions that zigrag lefi to right and then right of left and back again. We shall soon see that boustrophedonic organization was a major characteristic of visual cycles as well.' I
suspect that (iotto used it here to give a sense of Francis' peripatetic life, and simultancity 10 the final two scenes, the Death of St. Francis, on the left, and Visions of Francis' Ieath, on the right.

Something of the same approach is used again in the Magdalen Chapel, Lower Church, Assisi, but now in a pattern I call the linear boustrophedon. The narrative starts on the lefi wall, lower tier; it moves left to right wrapping around both walls. (On the entrance wall, it steps up to the second tier and reverses direction, now moving right to left, ending back on the left wall above the first scenc. The result in this case is placement of the scenes in which the Magdalen is miraculously transported through the air high up on the wall. identifying physical location with content.

Again in the Lower Church of Assisi in the St. Martin Chapel, Simone Martini refers to Early Christian protocols by starting his narrative at the altar end of the chapel on the right wall (St. Martin's Imvestiture). The narrative on the lowest tier is arranged in a Cat's Cradle pattern: it moves from right back to left front. and from left back to right front. This pattern places two military scenes together on the lower right, and two apparitions of Christ to the saint together on the lower left. The saint's own miracles are on the tier above, right and left, and his death, funeral, and appearance in hearen, are on the topmost tier.

An ideal demonstration of boustrophedonic arrangement is found at mid-century in the Collegiata in San Gimignano, on the right wall of the right side aisle. Attributed to Barna da Siena, the narrative of the life of Christ starts on the luncte leval and reads right to lefi for six bays. On the sccond tier, the direction reverses and reads left to right, ending with a double size Entry into Jerusalem. Not only does this element reflect the Farly Christian Vatican source, it savs so visually by including the figure of St. Peter holding the keys! The third tier returns to rcading right to left, and arrices at an oversize Crucifixion, four times the size of a regular scenc, again in the manner of Old St. Peter's. The last four scenes are a unit, with the earth-lound scenes of the Entombment and the Descent into Limbo, on the bottom, and the soaring miracles of the Resurrection and the Ascension, above. It has often been suggested that the arrangement at the Collegiata owes much to the arrangement of pancls on the back of Duccio's Muestà. Indeed, one should also relate the double size vertical of Duccio's Eintry into Jerusalem, as well as the oversize Crucifixion and Ascension, to the Early Christian protocols. It is even possible that the boustrophedonic organization in both cases had something to do with transferring the double wrap-around pattern of the basilica to the flat surface of a panel or a single wall.

At the end of the $14^{\text {th }}$ century we find the first major example of an organization I have designated as the festival arrangement. With this term I refer to representations of biblical occurrences which depict
not the narrative situation but the liturgical feast that celcbrates the event in the eschatological realm. ${ }^{5}$ The cycle of the True Cross by Agnolo Caddi in the chancel of S. Croce, Florence, is arranged on (wo opposing walls. The story begins on the right, in the Early Christian manner, at the top and procecds downward. It then jumps to the top of the left wall and similarly reads down to the bottom. The overall arrangement may be described as straight-line-zertical. The festival aspect comes from the fact that the scenes on the right wall relate to the feast of the Invention of the Cross, celcbrated on May 3 (not by chance coincidental with the date of the founding of S. Croce in 1294 ), as opposed to the scenes on the left wall which refer to the feast of the Exaltation of the Cross, celebrated on September 14 .

I shall finish this brief survey with some very simple observations about a few very famous $15^{1}$ h-century cycles.

Frescocs in the Bartolini Chapel, S. Trinita, Florence, painted about 1425 by Lorenzo Monaco, depict the Life of the Virgin on all four walls. The artangement is an aerial boustrophedon. Added here is a new element: the subject of the altarpiece, also by Monaco, enters into the narrative sequence of the chapel as whole. The main panel with the Ammunciation fits after the Marriage of the Virgin on the second tier of the right wall, and the predella contimues the sequence from the Visitation through the Flight into Egypt. The cycle then continues on the chapel walls.

As one might suspect, the Brancacci Chapel is as progressive in the realm of narrative disposition as in the realms of style, perspective and iconography. It combines for the first time a number of elements of the history we have been sketching. First, we find direct references to the Early Christian protocols. The location of a Life of St. Peter in the right transept wing was, after the 7 th century, that of Old St. Petcr's and traditionally followed thercafter (e.g. Duomo, Monreale; Lpper (hurch, Assisi). The combination of Old Testament subjects starting on the right wall (here the Temptation of Adam and Evee), with Acts of the Apostles, as we have seen, was also traditional. The Acts themselves arranged ideologically and not in biblical sequence recall the arrangement in S. Paolo fuori le Mura. Second. on the bottom tice of both sides walls, the arrangement of scenes is basically, and rather inventively, 'festival.' Scenes on the left wall are set in Antioch and refer to the Feast of February 22, the Chairing of Peter; those on the right are set in Rome, and refer to the feasis of Augusi 1, The Liberation of Peter, and June 30 , Peter's Martyrdom.

When we now look again at Picro della Francesca's Arezzo cycle we can see that essentially it continues

[^0]Masaccio's innovative approach to cycle organization. Ostensibly, the general arrangement is straight-line-vertical. The story starts on the right wall in Early Christian lashion and generally moves down. However, the pattern is not repeated on the left wall. Parts of the sequence are boustrophedonic but not consistently so, for the directions of reading skip and jump. There are certain references to /estival arrangement but not in the recently established way: the major scenes of Invention and Exaltation of the Cross are all on the left wall. Unguestionably, Pierotook his scencs out of narrative sequence for ideological reasons, and by making side to side oppositions, he created a kind of internal typology. His cycle thus fits into the development of patterned arrangements. Ht the same time, it departs from this development in wavs that help to define some of its mysterious attraction. Piero's achievement gave classical balance to the great events of Christian history thereby equating classical beauty with Christian truth. His cycle of the True Cross may thus be called the first Christian epic. created in risual terms and predating by more than a hundred years the accomplishment of this feat in the realm of poetry."

G (if. Torquato Iasso's theorv of epic: (Discourses on Meroic Poetry, trans. Cavaldini and Samuel, ()xford. 1973). and his Cerusalemme Liberala. of 1574.

One final example will bring us back to our point of departure, the Early Christian patterns of arrangement. The 15 th-century scenes in the rectangular tiers on the walls of the Sistine Chapel oppose Old and New lestament scencs in the parallel apse-toentrance pattern. Michelangelo's ceiling too, generally follows this arrangement. Thus, although there were obvious modernizations and modifications, the Papal Chapel of the 1480 harked back quite specifically to the fresco patterns of the infant Mother Church.

I have given a brief summary of some of the observations made possible simply by the compilation of the database. The patterns of arrangement that have thus come to light may now be studied historically. The statistical analysis (using the sas program) will doubtless lead into areas of rescarch yet to be discovered. Although I am still at the primitive stages of this study, I have seen enough results to convince me that this new tool offers a genumely new approach (0) art historical data.


[^0]:    5 This terminology depends on that used for Byantine art where cycles are arranged according to the calendar of liturgical feasts or festivals. Cf. ()to Demus, Byzantime Mosair Decorathon (London, 1948), ed. 1976. 22-26.

